Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/688,331	BACH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)	
(2) Royal Craig (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 8, 15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Minor changes in claims 8 and 15 were agreed upon in ord Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a will be a will be a minimum.</li> <li>The examiner will provide a will be a minimum.</li> </ul>	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)